IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.R.UDAYABHANU

TUESDAY, THE 27TH FEBRUARY 2007 / 8TH PHALGUNA 1928

Crl.Rev.Pet.No. 639 of 2000()

CC.611/1997 of JUDL.MAGISTRATE OF FIRST CLASS, PALA
.....

REVN. PETITIONER:

SHAJU THURUTHEL, THURUTHEL HOUSE
LALAM KARA & VILLAGE, PALAI.

BY ADV. SRI.MATHEW JOHN (K)

RESPONDENTS:
---
1. ROY MATHEW, ELIPULLIKKATTU,
CHAKKAMBUZHAKKARA, PALA.

2. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY PUBLIC PROROSECUTOR,
HIGH COURT OF KERALA.

BY PUBLIC PROSECUTORMR. AMJAD ALI
R1 BY ADV. SRI.C.J.JOY

THIS CRIMINAL REVISION PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 27/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON CRL.M.P.NOS. 5291/2000, 3560/2000& 3818/2001

IN CRL.R.P.NO. 639 OF 2000-A

DISMISSED.

27-2-2007 SD/- K.R.UDAYABHANU, JUDGE

TRUE COPY

P.S. TO JUDGE

K.R. UDAYABHANU, J.

CRL.R.P.NO.639 OF 2000

DATED THIS THE 27TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 2007

ORDER

The revision petitioner has sought for setting aside the order of the court below in C.C.No.611/1997 in the file of the Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Palai with respect to the offence under Sections 279, 337 and 338 of the Indian Penal Code. The court below dismissed the application filed under Section 311 Cr.P.C. to reopen the evidence on the ground that C.M.P.has been filed in the year 1996 and so far, i.e.till 23-5-2000 the petitioner has not brought the rest of the witnesses and completed the trial. In the circumstances and in view of the reasons mentioned, I find no reason to allow the revision petition and the same is dismissed.

K.R.UDAYABHANU, JUDGE

ks.

K.R.UDAYABHANU, J

CRL.R.P.NO.639 OF 2000

ORDER

27-2-2007